

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/716,089	E SILVA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cynthia Collins	1638	

All Participants:

Status of Application: after-final

(1) Cynthia Collins.

(3) Patricia McDaniels.

(2) Ruoying Chen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

21,24,28,37,43

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

examiner's amendment to amend claims 21,24,28,37 and 43 to recite "having the sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:".

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)